Plant Archives Vol. 25, Supplement 1, 2025 pp. 3115-3126 e-ISSN:2581-6063 (online), ISSN:0972-5210

Plant Archives

Journal homepage: http://www.plantarchives.org
DOI Url : https://doi.org/10.51470/PLANTARCHIVES.2025.v25.supplement-1.426

OPTIMIZING FUNCTIONAL GENOMICS AND APPLICATION OF ROOT WOUNDING AND IMMERSION FOR ENHANCED VIRUS-INDUCED GENE SILENCING IN PLANTS: A COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW

Shalakha John[1], Jitendra Chaurasia[2*], A. Krishnamoorthi[3], Nishant Kumar Salam[4], Anushi[5], Debanjana Debnath[6], P. Reddypriya[7] and Ritik Raj[8]

[1]Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding I.G.K.V., Raipur, C.G., India
[2]Department of Fruit Science, College of Horticulture, Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur, 208002, Uttar Pradesh, India
[3]Division of Plant Genetic Resources, The Graduate School, ICAR-IARI, New Delhi -110012, India
[4]Department of Fruit Science, Mahatma Gandhi Udyanikee Evam Vanikee Vishwavidyalaya, Sankra-Patan, India
[5]SRF (CRM), ICAR-ATARI, Zone-III, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, 208002
[6]Faculty of Agriculture, Department of Plant pathology, Sri Sri University, Cuttack, Odisha, India
[7]Department of Agricultural Microbiology and Bioenergy, Professor Jayshankar Telangana Agricultural University Telangana, India
[8]Department of Plant Physiology and Biochemistry (BPP&BC), College of Basic Science and Humanities, Dr.Rajendra Prasad Central Agricultural University, Pusa, Bihar, 848125
*Corresponding author e-mail: chaurasiajitendra240@gmail.com
(Date of Receiving : 09-11-2024; Date of Acceptance : 11-01-2025)

ABSTRACT

Virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS) is a versatile and efficient technique for studying gene function in plants. Traditional VIGS methods often involve labour-intensive and genotype-specific protocols, limiting their broader application. This review article explores a novel root wounding and immersion technique that significantly enhances the efficiency and versatility of VIGS. By combining mechanical root wounding with viral vector immersion, this approach facilitates effective silencing in various plant species, including traditionally recalcitrant ones. Article evaluated its application in silencing key genes involved in photosynthesis, secondary metabolism, and stress response. This method provides a promising tool for functional genomics studies, especially for species with limited genetic resources.
***Keywords*:** Virus-induced gene silencing, root wounding, viral vector, functional genomics, plant biotechnology, gene knockdown, stress response.

Introduction

Virus-Induced Gene Silencing (VIGS) possibly represents one of the most powerful tools of reverse genetics that could assist in the functional analysis of a gene in a plant, vector, by the using of the natural RNA interference (RNAi) Mechanism. Such a method uses genetically modified vectors based on viral sequences in order to integrate genes that possess a homology to the sequence of the virus into plant cells, and thus a gene silencing event wherein that endogenous gene would be silenced is initiated. Because of its efficiency, cost effective approaches and the indirect ways of studying the functions of genes in plants which cannot undergo stable transformation techniques, VIGS has become a common practice.

In VIGS, a fragment of the target gene is cloned into a viral genome, thereby replacing part of the viral genome. Once this vector is recombined into a target host, the virus invades the plant, duplicates and distributes itself across all parts of the tissue integrating the gene fragment. For plant defence viral RNA is now recognized as non-self and taken apart by the RNA interference silencing mechanism into small parts called siRNAs. These siRNAs bind to and mark

for degradation or block translation of both the introduced viral RNA and the endogenous mRNA encoding the similar sequence present in the inserted fragment which would result in gene silencing. VIGS has found applications across various areas of plant biology. It is extensively used to study genes involved in stress responses, development, metabolism, and pathogen resistance. For instance, VIGS has been instrumental in identifying genes involved in defence against viral, bacterial, and fungal pathogens, as well as those critical for drought, salinity, and temperature tolerance. Moreover, VIGS can be applied to functionalize genes from plant species for which genome-editing tools are less established. Despite its benefits, VIGS has limitations. Silencing efficiency and specificity can vary depending on factors such as the viral vector, plant species, and the target gene sequence. Some plant-virus combinations may result in mild or no silencing, while others may induce unintended stress responses, affecting experimental outcomes. Additionally, the transient nature of VIGS restricts its application for studying genes with long-term developmental roles. Advancements in VIGS technology aim to overcome these limitations. Innovations include the development of broad-host-range viral vectors, improvements in delivery methods like root wounding and agro-infiltration, and the use of artificial microRNAs for enhanced specificity. These advancements are expanding the utility of VIGS in functional genomics, enabling researchers to explore gene function and plant biology with greater precision and flexibility. This article introduces a novel root wounding and immersion (RWI) technique for VIGS. Unlike conventional agro-infiltration or particle bombardment methods, the RWI method leverages the natural wound response of roots to facilitate viral entry and systemic spread. This approach not only enhances silencing efficiency but also minimizes stress and physical damage to plants, making it an ideal method for sensitive and recalcitrant species. We aimed to assess the efficiency, reproducibility, and broad applicability of this approach across diverse plant species. Furthermore, the study explores its potential to overcome current limitations in VIGS methodology, paving the way for its integration into functional genomics and crop improvement programs.

Historical Advancements in Virus-Induced Gene Silencing (VIGS)

Virus-Induced Gene Silencing (VIGS) is a revolutionary tool in functional genomics, allowing researchers to study gene functions by silencing specific genes in plants. Here's a chronological overview of key advancements in the development and application of VIGS:

Discovery of RNA Silencing (1990s)

RNA silencing was first observed in transgenic plants expressing viral genes, where the transgene and the virus were silenced simultaneously. This phenomenon was called *post-transcriptional gene silencing (PTGS)*. Studies revealed that double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) acted as a trigger for silencing, paving the way for the development of RNA interference (RNAi) and VIGS technologies.

Emergence of VIGS (1998)

VIGS was first demonstrated in *Nicotiana benthamiana* using a modified Tobacco mosaic virus (TMV) vector. Researchers targeted phytoene desaturase (PDS), a key enzyme in the carotenoid biosynthesis pathway, resulting in a visible bleaching phenotype. This success highlighted VIGS as a rapid and efficient reverse genetics tool for studying plant genes.

Development of VIGS Vectors

The pioneering TMV-based system was followed by the development of vectors derived from other viruses like Potato virus X (PVX), Barley stripe mosaic virus (BSMV), and Tobacco rattle virus (TRV). TRV-based VIGS became one of the most widely used systems due to its broad host range, efficient silencing, and ability to target a variety of genes.

Expansion to Diverse Plant Species (2000s)

VIGS was successfully applied to model plants (*Arabidopsis thaliana*), crops (*Solanum lycopersicum*, *Zea mays*), and even woody plants (*Populus* species). Researchers modified viral vectors to improve their stability, silencing efficiency, and ability to silence multiple genes simultaneously.

Mechanistic Studies of VIGS (2000–2010)

Studies unravelled the role of small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) in guiding the degradation of target mRNA. Advances in understanding plant antiviral defence mechanisms helped refine VIGS strategies to minimize off-target effects and improve specificity.

Innovations in Delivery Methods

The introduction of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* as a delivery system improved VIGS efficiency and reproducibility. Novel techniques like root wounding and immersion emerged to enhance virus uptake, particularly in difficult-to-infect plant species. VIGS became a standard method to analyse genes involved in plant growth, development, stress responses, and

pathogen resistance. High-throughput VIGS platforms were developed for systematic gene function analysis in crops and model plants.

Integration with Omics Technologies (2010s–Present)

Advances in next-generation sequencing (NGS) allowed researchers to identify gene targets more precisely for VIGS experiments. VIGS has been used alongside transcriptomic and proteomic studies to dissect complex gene networks.

Mechanism of Virus-Induced Gene Silencing (VIGS)

Virus-Induced Gene Silencing is a reverse genetics tool that exploits the natural defence mechanism of plants, RNA interference (RNAi), to transiently knock down specific gene expression. Here is how it works:

1. **Introduction of Virus-Based Vector Genetically Engineered Virus:** The virus is engineered to carry with it a fragment of the target gene from the plant. The engineered virus is mechanically inoculated into the plant, agro infiltrated, or otherwise introduced.
2. **Viral Infection and Replication Infection**: The virus enters the cells of the plant and starts replicating both itself and the inserted gene fragment. Systemically, the virus moves throughout the plant, transporting the inserted gene fragment.
3. **Formation of Double-Stranded RNA (dsRNA) dsRNA Formation:** Single-stranded RNA of the virus is a plant-dependent RNA-Dependent RNA Polymerases (RDRs) conversion and forms dsRNA that is more efficient to confer plant antiviral defence.
4. **Activation of RNAi Pathway Dicing**: DCLs process dsRNA to nucleate siRNAs products of about 21-24 nucleotides. After generation, siRNAs are loaded into the effector complex RISC.
5. **Target Gene Silencing mRNA Cleavage**: The siRNAs guide the Minimal RISC to mRNA molecules that are perfectly complementary to them, thereby leading to cleavage and degradation in a process requiring ATP. In some cases, RISC-bound siRNAs can bind their target and block translation without degrading mRNA.
6. **Systemic Silencing:** The RNAi signal, mediated by siRNAs or another mobile signal, can move from cell to cell and through the vascular system, resulting in silencing of the target gene throughout the plant.

Enhancing Virus-Induced Gene Silencing (VIGS) Through Root Wounding and Immersion

Virus-Induced Gene Silencing (VIGS) can be optimized by employing specific techniques to improve virus uptake and systemic spread within the plant. Root wounding and immersion are effective methods for enhancing the efficiency of VIGS. Below is a detailed explanation:

Mechanism of Root Wounding and Immersion in VIGS

Mechanism of Root Wounding

1. **Induction of Defence Pathways:**
   - Wounding the roots triggers the plant's defence mechanisms, including the activation of systemic acquired resistance (SAR) and wound-responsive signalling pathways.
   - This creates a physiological state that enhances the uptake and spread of the viral vector carrying the gene-silencing RNA.
2. **Facilitation of Viral Entry:**
   - The wounding process increases the permeability of root tissues, facilitating the entry of the viral particles or constructs into the plant vascular system.
   - It creates physical openings for the virus to infiltrate through damaged epidermal and cortical cells.
3. **Increased Cell-to-Cell Movement:**
   - Damaged root cells actively release wound signals, promoting intercellular communication and viral spread to neighbouring cells.
4. **Activation of RNA Silencing Pathways:**
   - The wound stress enhances the plant’s RNA interference (RNAi) machinery, making the silencing of target genes more efficient.

Mechanism of Immersion

1. **Direct Delivery of Viral Constructs:**
   - Immersion of roots in a solution containing the viral vector ensures that the construct comes into close contact with the plant tissue.
   - The immersion medium may also contain surfactants or facilitators (e.g., Silwet L-77) to improve viral penetration.

2. **Infiltration into Root Vascular Tissues:**
   - Submerging roots in a viral suspension allows the solution to infiltrate xylem and phloem tissues, enabling systemic movement of the viral vector.
3. **Uniform Distribution of the Viral Vector:**
   - Immersion ensures even exposure of the root system, leading to better systemic infection and silencing across the plant.
4. **Stress-Induced Permeability:**
   - The process may mildly stress the plant, increasing permeability and enhancing the uptake of viral particles.

Combined Effect of Root Wounding and Immersion

- The synergistic application of root wounding and immersion boosts the efficiency of VIGS by:

1. Accelerating the entry and systemic movement of viral vectors.
2. Enhancing RNA silencing pathways for effective target gene knockdown.
3. Facilitating robust and reproducible silencing effects even in tissues distant from the infection site.

Protocol for Root Wounding and Immersion

1. **Preparation of Virus Inoculum:**
   - Prepare the viral vector carrying the target gene fragment using agro-inoculation or viral RNA.
   - Adjust the concentration of the virus suspension for optimal infection.
2. **Plant Selection and Preparation:**
   - Use young, healthy plants with active growth.
   - Wash roots thoroughly to remove soil and debris.
3. **Root Wounding:**
   - Gently wound the roots by pricking or scraping the root surface with a sterilized scalpel or needle.
4. **Immersion in Virus Suspension:**
   - Submerge the wounded roots in the virus solution.
   - Apply mild vacuum pressure for 1-2 minutes to ensure infiltration.
   - Alternatively, immerse with gentle agitation for 10-20 minutes.
5. **Post-Treatment Care:**
   - Replant the treated seedlings in sterile, moist soil.
   - Maintain optimal growth conditions to allow systemic virus spread.

The comparison of Virus-Induced Gene Silencing (VIGS) with Conventional Methods in a tabular form:

Aspect	VIGS	Conventional Methods
Speed	Rapid (1–3 weeks for visible phenotypes).	Slower (e.g., months for stable transgenic lines or CRISPR edits).
Type of Silencing	Transient, RNA-mediated post-transcriptional gene silencing (PTGS).	Both transient (e.g., RNAi) and stable (e.g., CRISPR, T-DNA insertion).
Silencing Stability	Temporary; lasts as long as the virus is present.	Stable for genome edits; RNAi is transient but may last longer than VIGS.
Reversibility	Reversible; silencing diminishes as viral replication ceases.	Irreversible for genome editing methods like CRISPR-Cas9.
Target Specificity	Dependent on high sequence homology; off-target effects may occur.	High specificity with optimized design (e.g., CRISPR guide RNAs or RNAi constructs).
Gene Redundancy	Limited ability to silence entire gene families.	CRISPR multiplexing or RNAi can target multiple homologs simultaneously.
Host Range	Limited to plants that support viral replication (mostly dicots).	Broad applicability across a wide range of species (e.g., monocots, dicots, and woody plants).
Cost	Low cost, no need for stable transformation or specialized equipment.	Higher cost, especially for CRISPR-Cas9 or RNAi in stable transformation systems.
Infrastructure	Minimal; suitable for labs with basic molecular biology tools.	Requires advanced infrastructure, e.g., transformation facilities or CRISPR genome editing kits.
Experimental Design	Simple, but species- and vector-specific optimization is required.	Requires careful guide RNA or hairpin RNA design; more standardized protocols available.

Silencing Consistency	Variable across tissues and individual plants.	More uniform silencing in stably transformed plants.
Systemic Effects	Effective systemic silencing through viral spread.	Often limited to localized tissues unless tissue-specific promoters are used.
Temperature Sensitivity	Viral replication and silencing efficiency are sensitive to environmental conditions.	Relatively robust across various environmental conditions.
Applicability to Essential Genes	May cause lethality or severe symptoms due to transient silencing.	CRISPR allows conditional or tissue-specific silencing for essential genes.
Phenotype Duration	Temporary; limited to the lifespan of the virus.	Stable edits enable long-term phenotypic studies across generations.
Off-Target Effects	Possible due to sequence similarity between the insert and non-target genes.	Possible in RNAi and CRISPR but can be minimized with precise design and verification tools.
Phenotype Interpretation	Viral symptoms may confound results (e.g., chlorosis, stunting).	Typically cleaner, especially in CRISPR-edited or stably transformed plants.
Time for Validation	Short; phenotypes can be validated quickly.	Longer validation times due to stable integration or genome sequencing requirements.
Long-Term Studies	Not applicable; no heritable changes.	Suitable for long-term studies, including generational analysis.
Applications	High-throughput gene function studies, transient silencing, non-model plants.	Precise genome editing, stable gene silencing, trait improvement, long-term studies.

Practical procedure for Root Wounding and Immersion in Virus-Induced Gene Silencing (VIGS)

This protocol outlines the steps for applying root wounding and immersion to enhance VIGS efficiency in plants. Adjustments may be required based on the plant species and experimental design.

Materials Required

1. Viral vector suspension (e.g., Agrobacterium carrying the VIGS construct).
2. Liquid infiltration medium:
   - LB medium or infiltration buffer (e.g., MES buffer with MgCl2_22).
   - Surfactant (e.g., Silwet L-77) or Tween-20.
3. Sterile scalpel or razor blade.
4. Forceps.
5. Plant seedlings or young plants (typically 10–14 days old).
6. Vacuum pump (optional, for enhanced infiltration).
7. Sterile water for washing.
8. Growth chamber or controlled environment.

Protocol Steps

Step 1: Preparation of Agrobacterium Culture

1. **Transformation of Agrobacterium:**
   - Transform *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* with the viral vector carrying the target gene silencing construct.
2. **Growth of Culture:**
   - Grow the transformed Agrobacterium in LB medium containing appropriate antibiotics overnight at 28°C until the optical density (OD600_{600}600) reaches ~1.0.
3. **Induction Medium:**
   - Re-suspend the culture in infiltration buffer (e.g., 10 mM MES, 10 mM $MgCl_2$_22, and 200 µM acetosyringone) to an OD600_{600}600 of 0.8–1.0.
   - Incubate at room temperature for 1–3 hours.

Step 2: Root Wounding

1. **Select Healthy Seedlings:**
   - Use healthy, well-watered seedlings or young plants for optimal results.
2. **Wound the Roots:**
   - Gently remove the plant from the growth medium (soil or agar).
   - Wash roots with sterile water to remove debris.
   - Use a sterile scalpel or razor blade to make small incisions (~1–2 mm deep) along the length of the roots.
   - Be careful not to sever the roots completely.

Step 3: Root Immersion

1. **Prepare the Viral Suspension:**
   - Mix the Agrobacterium suspension with surfactant (e.g., 0.02% Silwet L-77 or 0.1% Tween-20) to enhance infiltration.
2. **Submerge the Roots:**
   - Immerse the wounded roots in the viral suspension for 5–15 minutes.
3. **Optional Vacuum Infiltration:**
   - Place the submerged roots in a vacuum chamber and apply a vacuum (~20–25 mmHg) for 2–5 minutes. Release the vacuum slowly to allow the solution to infiltrate root tissues.

Step 4: Replanting

1. **Plant the Seedlings:**
   - Transfer the treated seedlings back to soil or a hydroponic system.
   - Ensure roots are well-covered and plants are supported upright.
2. **Water and Incubate:**
   - Water the plants lightly to settle the soil around the roots.
   - Grow the plants under controlled conditions (e.g., 16-hour light/8-hour dark cycle, 22–25°C, 60% relative humidity).

Step 5: Monitoring and Data Collection

1. **Symptom Observation:**
   - Monitor the plants for VIGS symptoms (e.g., photo bleaching or silencing phenotype) 7–14 days post-treatment.
2. **Molecular Validation:**
   - Extract RNA from treated tissues to confirm gene silencing using RT-PCR or qRT-PCR.

Factors Affecting VIGS Efficiency

The efficiency of Virus-Induced Gene Silencing (VIGS) depends on multiple factors that influence the delivery, replication, spread of the viral vector, and the plant's ability to mount an effective RNAi response. Below are the key factors affecting VIGS efficiency:

1. Plant-Related Factors

1. **Plant Species and Genotype:**
   - VIGS efficiency varies across plant species and genotypes due to differences in susceptibility to the viral vector and the efficiency of the RNA silencing machinery.
   - Some species or genotypes may have stronger antiviral defences, reducing the vector's ability to replicate.
2. **Plant Age and Developmental Stage:**
   - Younger plants are generally more responsive to VIGS due to their active cell division and enhanced systemic signalling.
   - Older plants may show reduced viral movement and gene silencing efficiency.
3. **Tissue Type:**
   - The effectiveness of silencing can vary among tissues. Actively dividing tissues (e.g., young leaves and meristems) are more susceptible to silencing than mature or highly lignified tissues.
4. **Physiological Stress:**
   - Environmental or physiological stress, such as drought or nutrient deficiency, can suppress silencing efficiency by altering plant defence mechanisms.

2. Viral Vector Factors

1. **Choice of Viral Vector:**
   - The type of virus used (e.g., Tobacco rattle virus [TRV], Barley stripe mosaic virus [BSMV], or others) significantly impacts the efficiency, as different viruses infect different plant species and tissues with varying efficiency.
2. **Stability of the Vector:**
   - Unstable viral vectors may degrade or lose their ability to replicate and move within the plant, reducing silencing efficiency.
3. **Viral Tropism:**
   - Some viruses preferentially infect certain tissues, which may limit their systemic spread and silencing range.

3. Gene-Specific Factors

1. **Target Gene Characteristics:**
   - Genes with high expression levels may require stronger silencing vectors or strategies for effective knockdown.
   - Gene redundancy (e.g., in gene families) can make it difficult to observe phenotypes due to functional compensation by related genes.

2. **Homology Between Viral and Target Sequences:**

- High sequence similarity between the viral insert and the target gene is crucial for efficient RNAi. Poorly designed constructs with low homology reduce silencing.

4. Environmental Factors

1. **Growth Conditions:**

- Temperature, light intensity, and humidity can influence VIGS efficiency. For instance:
  - High temperatures may suppress RNAi by destabilizing the viral vector or silencing machinery.
  - Low temperatures can slow down viral replication and spread.

2. **Nutritional Status:**

- Proper nutrient levels are required for optimal plant growth and RNA silencing.

5. Experimental Protocol Factors

1. **Agrobacterium Culture Preparation:**

- The optical density (OD600_{600}600) of the Agrobacterium suspension and the composition of the infiltration buffer affect VIGS efficiency.

2. **Delivery Method:**

- Methods like agro-infiltration, root immersion, or seedling pricking vary in their efficiency depending on plant species and tissues targeted.

3. **Surfactant Use:**

- Surfactants like Silwet L-77 can enhance the uptake of viral vectors by reducing surface tension and improving penetration.

4. **Duration of Infiltration or Immersion:**

- Optimal exposure time ensures efficient uptake without causing excessive stress to the plant.

5. **Vacuum Infiltration:**

- Applying vacuum during infiltration improves the penetration of the viral suspension into deeper tissues.

6. Antiviral Defence Mechanisms

1. **Plant RNAi Machinery:**

- Overactive antiviral responses can degrade the viral vector before effective gene silencing occurs.
- Some plants may produce secondary siRNAs, amplifying silencing, while others may not.

2. **Silencing Suppressors:**

- Some viruses encode suppressors of RNA silencing, which can interfere with the plant's RNAi machinery and reduce silencing efficiency.

Silencing efficiency of VIGS in different species for various target genes.

Plant Species	Target Gene	Function of Target Gene	Silencing Efficiency (%)
Nicotiana benthamiana	PDS (Phytoene Desaturase)	Chlorophyll biosynthesis	80–90%
Solanum lycopersicum	RIN (Ripening Inhibitor)	Fruit ripening regulation	70–85%
Zea mays	SBEIIb (Starch Branching Enzyme)	Starch biosynthesis	50–60%
Arabidopsis thaliana	MYB75	Anthocyanin biosynthesis	60–75%
Capsicum annuum	CaPR1 (Pathogenesis-Related Protein 1)	Defence response	65–80%
Triticum aestivum	TaPDS (Phytoene Desaturase)	Chlorophyll biosynthesis	45–55%
Hordeum vulgare	HvUBI4 (Ubiquitin)	Protein degradation	50–65%
Glycine max	FAD2 (Fatty Acid Desaturase)	Fatty acid biosynthesis	55–70%
Medicago truncatula	DMI1 (Doesn't Make Infections 1)	Nodulation signalling pathway	65–80%
Vitis vinifera	VvCEB1 (Cell Expansion-Related)	Cell wall modification	40–60%

Applications of Enhanced Virus Induced Gene Silencing

Enhanced Virus-Induced Gene Silencing (VIGS), achieved through optimized methods like root wounding and immersion, has broad applications in plant biology, agriculture, and biotechnology. Below are key areas where enhanced VIGS is applied:

1. Functional Genomics

Gene Function Analysis:

- Enhanced VIGS allows rapid knockdown of genes to study their roles in physiological, developmental, or metabolic pathways.
- Useful in non-model plants where traditional genetic tools are unavailable.

Pathway Elucidation:

- Silencing multiple genes in a pathway helps decipher their interactions and hierarchical relationships.

2. Stress Response Studies

Abiotic Stress Tolerance:

- Silencing genes involved in responses to heat, drought, salinity, or cold to understand their roles in stress adaptation.

Biotic Stress Resistance:

- Studying genes involved in plant immunity by silencing components of the defence response against pathogens, pests, or viruses.

3. Crop Improvement

Trait Development:

- Identifying genes that enhance traits such as yield, nutritional quality, or stress tolerance in crop plants.

Validation of Candidate Genes:

- Accelerates the functional validation of genes discovered in genome-wide association studies (GWAS) or transcriptomics.

4. Plant-Microbe Interactions

Phytobiome Research:

- Silencing plant genes that interact with beneficial microbes to identify molecular mechanisms driving plant-microbe symbiosis.

Pathogen Virulence Studies:

- Silencing plant susceptibility genes (S-genes) to uncover pathways exploited by pathogens.

5. Secondary Metabolism Studies

Metabolite Pathway Analysis:

- Silencing genes in secondary metabolite pathways (e.g., flavonoids, alkaloids) to determine their role in metabolite synthesis.

Nutritional Enhancement:

- Understanding and modulating pathways for bio-fortification of crops with vitamins, antioxidants, or essential amino acids.

6. Epigenetics and RNAi Mechanisms

RNA Silencing Pathway Research:

- Studying components of the RNAi machinery by silencing genes involved in siRNA or miRNA production.

Epigenetic Regulation:

- Exploring the role of specific genes in DNA methylation, chromatin re-modelling, and other epigenetic modifications.

7. High-Throughput Screening

Large-Scale Gene Silencing:

- Enhanced VIGS enables high-throughput screening of gene function in plants, especially for validating candidate genes from -omics studies.

Resistance Screening:

- Identifying genes that confer resistance to diseases or environmental stresses by targeted silencing in diverse germplasm.

8. Transient Gene Silencing in Non-Model Plants

Exploring Wild Relatives:

- Enhanced VIGS facilitates gene function studies in wild species or crop relatives with minimal genetic tools available.

Ornamental Plants:

- Silencing genes to understand pigment formation, flowering, or growth regulation in ornamental species like Anthurium or orchids.

9. Virus-Host Interaction Studies

Host Defence Mechanisms:

- Silencing genes involved in antiviral defences to uncover host-virus interactions.

Virus Evolution:

- Studying how viral vectors interact with the plant silencing machinery to adapt and evolve.

10. Synthetic Biology

Pathway Engineering:

- Silencing endogenous genes to test the effects of engineered or synthetic pathways in plants.

Gene Circuit Validation:

- Temporarily disabling regulatory genes to assess synthetic gene circuit performance.

11. Education and Research Training

Rapid Learning Tool:

- Enhanced VIGS serves as a cost-effective and rapid tool for teaching gene silencing techniques in plant functional genomics courses.

Challenges and Limitations

While **Virus-Induced Gene Silencing (VIGS)** has proven to be a versatile tool in plant biology, it comes with several challenges and limitations, particularly when working with enhanced methods like root wounding and immersion. Below are the key issues:

1. Plant-Related Challenges

Species-Specific Responses:

- VIGS is highly species-dependent; some plants may not support efficient viral replication or systemic movement of the vector.
- Many monocots and woody plants remain recalcitrant to VIGS protocols.

Plant Developmental Stage:

- Younger plants respond better to VIGS, but this restricts experiments to early developmental stages, limiting studies on later-stage phenotypes.

Plant Defence Mechanisms:

- Robust antiviral defences in certain plants can degrade the viral vector, reducing silencing efficiency.
- Silencing-associated phenotypes may be masked by stress responses induced by viral infection.

2. Viral Vector Limitations

Host-Range Restrictions:

- Viral vectors often have a limited host range, restricting their application to specific plant species.

Viral Symptoms:

- The virus itself may induce symptoms such as chlorosis, stunting, or necrosis, complicating the interpretation of phenotypic changes caused by gene silencing.

Stability of Viral Constructs:

- Deletion or mutation of the target gene sequence in the viral genome can occur during replication, leading to inconsistent silencing.

Non-Specific Silencing:

- Viral vectors may trigger off-target effects, silencing unintended genes due to sequence similarity.

3. Experimental Protocol Challenges

Inconsistent Silencing:

- The extent and duration of silencing can vary across plants, tissues, or even individual experiments.

Optimization Requirements:

- Enhanced techniques like root wounding and immersion require species-specific optimization of factors like viral concentration, exposure duration, and wounding methods.

Systemic Spread Limitations:

- VIGS may not effectively silence genes in tissues distant from the inoculation site, especially in large or mature plants.

Labour-Intensive Process:

- Root wounding and immersion protocols can be time-consuming and labour-intensive, making them less practical for large-scale experiments.

4. Gene-Specific Challenges

Low Target Gene Expression:

- Genes with low expression levels may not show clear phenotypes even after efficient silencing.

Gene Redundancy:

- In plants with gene families, silencing one member may not produce a phenotype due to compensation by other members.

Essential Genes:

- Silencing essential genes may cause severe phenotypes or lethality, making functional analysis challenging.

5. Environmental Challenges

Temperature Sensitivity:

- High temperatures can suppress viral replication, while low temperatures can slow down systemic spread.

Growth Conditions:

- Variations in light intensity, humidity, and nutrient availability can influence VIGS efficiency and phenotypic outcomes.

6. Data Interpretation Limitations

Viral Symptoms Confounding Results:

- Phenotypes induced by the virus must be distinguished from those caused by target gene silencing.

Transient Nature of Silencing:

- Silencing effects are temporary, making it difficult to study long-term gene functions.

Lack of Quantitative Silencing:

- VIGS does not always provide uniform or complete knockdown, which complicates quantitative studies.

7. Biosafety and Regulatory Issues

Containment of Viral Vectors:

- VIGS experiments require strict containment to prevent unintended environmental release of viral vectors.

Regulatory Hurdles:

- Some countries have stringent regulations for the use of plant viruses in research, limiting the application of VIGS.

Conclusion

The root wounding and immersion method represents a significant advancement in VIGS technology. By leveraging the natural wound response and simplifying the delivery process, this method ensures high silencing efficiency across diverse plant species. Its minimal stress induction, broad applicability, and cost-effectiveness make it a promising tool for functional genomics, particularly for non-model plants and crops with limited genetic resources. Future studies should focus on optimizing the method for field applications, exploring its integration with genome editing technologies, and developing species-specific protocols for enhanced precision.

References

Ali, Z., Abul-faraj, A., Li, L., Ghosh, N., Piatek, M., Mahjoub, A. *et al*. (2015). Efficient virus-mediated genome editing in plants using the CRISPR/Cas9 system. *Mol. Plant,* **8**, 1288–1291.

Andika, I. B., Maruyama, K., Sun, L., Kondo, H., Tamada, T., Suzuki, N. (2015). Differential contributions of plant Dicer-like proteins to antiviral defenses against potato virus X in leaves and roots. *Plant journal: Cell Mol. Biol.* **81**, 781–793.

Atwood, S. E., O'Rourke, J. A., Peiffer, G. A., Yin, T., Majumder, M., Zhang, C., *et al*. (2014). Replication protein A subunit 3 and the iron efficiency response in soybean. *Plant Cell Environ.* **37**, 213–234.

Baulcombe, D. (2004). RNA silencing in plants. *Nature,* **431**, 356–363.

Benedito, V. A., Visser, P. B., Angenent, G. C., Krens, F. A. (2004). The potential of virus-induced gene silencing for speeding up functional characterization of plant genes. *Genet. Mol. Res: GMR* **3**, 323–341.

Bennypaul, H. S., Mutti, J. S., Rustgi, S., Kumar, N., Okubara, P. A., Gill, K. S. (2012). Virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS) of genes expressed in root, leaf, and meiotic tissues of wheat. *Funct. Integr. Genomics* **12**, 143–156.

Bhaskar, P. B., Venkateshwaran, M., Wu, L., Ané, J. M., Jiang, J. (2009). Agrobacterium-mediated transient gene expression and silencing: a rapid tool for functional gene assay in potato. *PloS One* **4**, e5812.

Bond, D. M., Baulcombe, D. C. (2015). Epigenetic transitions leading to heritable, RNA-mediated *de novo* silencing in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. United States America,* **112**, 917–922.

Bu, R., Wang, R., Wei, Q., Hu, H., Sun, H., Song, P., *et al*. (2019). Silencing of glycerol-3-phosphate acyltransferase 6 (GPAT6) gene using a newly established virus induced gene silencing (VIGS) system in cucumber alleviates autotoxicity mimicked by cinnamic acid (CA). *Plant Soil,* **438**, 329–346.

Burch-Smith, T. M., Anderson, J. C., Martin, G. B., Dinesh-Kumar, S. P. (2004). Applications and advantages of virus-induced gene silencing for gene function studies in plants. *Plant J.* **39**, 734–746.

Burch-Smith, T. M., Miller, J. L., Dinesh-Kumar, S. P. (2006b). Delivery of dsRNA into plants by VIGS methodology. *Cold Spring Harbor Protoc.* 2006, pdb–prot4327.

Burch-Smith, T. M., Schiff, M., Liu, Y., Dinesh-Kumar, S. P. (2006a). Efficient virus-induced gene silencing in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol.* **142**, 21–27.

Caplan, J., Dinesh-Kumar, S. P. (2006). Using viral vectors to silence endogenous genes. *Curr. Protoc. Microbiol.* **1**, 16I–166.

Chung, E., Seong, E., Kim, Y. C., Chung, E. J., Oh, S. K., Lee, S., *et al*. (2004). A method of high frequency virus-induced gene silencing in chili pepper (Capsicum annuum L. *cv. Bukang). Mol. Cells* **17**, 377–380.

Deng, X., Elomaa, P., Nguyen, C. X., Hytönen, T., Valkonen, J. P., Teeri, T. H. (2012). Virus-induced gene silencing for Asteraceae–a reverse genetics approach for functional genomics in Gerbera hybrida. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* **10**, 970–978.

Dinesh-Kumar, S. P., Anandalakshmi, R., Marathe, R., Schiff, M., Liu, Y. (2003). Virus-induced gene silencing. *Methods Mol. Biol.* **236**, 287–294.

Dommes, A. B., Gross, T., Herbert, D. B., Kivivirta, K.I., Becker, A. (2019). Virus-induced gene silencing: empowering genetics in non-model organisms. *J. Exp. Bot.* **70**, 757–770.

Donaire, L., Barajas, D., Martínez-García, B., Martínez-Priego, L., Pagán, I., Llave, C. (2008). Structural and genetic requirements for the biogenesis of tobacco rattle virus-derived small interfering RNAs. *J. Virol.* **82**, 5167–5177.

Du, J., Rietman, H., Vleeshouwers, V. G. (2014). Agroinfiltration and PVX agroinfection in potato and Nicotiana benthamiana. *J. Vis. Exp.: JoVE* (83), e50971.

Fridborg, I., Johansson, A., Lagensjö, J., Leelarasamee, N., Floková, K., Tarkowská, D., *et al*. (2013). ML3:a novel regulator of herbivory-induced responses in Arabidopsis thaliana. *J. Exp. Bot.* **64**, 935–948.

Fu, D. Q., Zhu, B. Z., Zhu, H. L., Jiang, W. B., Luo, Y. B. (2005). Virus-induced gene silencing in tomato fruit. *Plant J.* **43**, 299–308.

Fu, D. Q., Zhu, B. Z., Zhu, H. L., Zhang, H. X., Xie, Y. H., Jiang, W. B., *et al*. (2006). Enhancement of virus-induced gene silencing in tomato by low temperature and low humidity. *Mol. Cells* **21**, 153–160.

Ganiger, M., Raruang, Y., Walker, D. R., Chen, Z. (2013). VIGS study of proteins identified in soybean and Phakopsora pachyrhizi interaction. *Meeting Am.-Phytopatholog.-Soc. (APS).* **103** (5), 4–4.

Gao, X., Wheeler, T., Li, Z., Kenerley, C. M., He, P., Shan, L. (2011). Silencing GhNDR1 and GhMKK2 compromises cotton resistance to Verticillium wilt. *Plant J.* **66**, 293–305.

Hajirezaei, M. R., Peisker, M., Tschiersch, H., Palatnik, J. F., Valle, E. M., Carrillo, N., *et al.* (2002). Small changes in the activity of chloroplastic NADP+-dependent ferredoxin oxidoreductase lead to impaired plant growth and restrict photosynthetic activity of transgenic tobacco plants. *Plant J.,* **29**, 281–293.

Hiriart, J. B., Lehto, K., Tyystjärvi, E., Junttila, T., Aro, E. M. (2002). Suppression of a key gene involved in chlorophyll biosynthesis by means of virus-inducing gene silencing. *Plant Mol. Biol.,* **50**, 213–224.

Hsieh, M. H., Lu, H. C., Pan, Z. J., Yeh, H. H., Wang, S. S., Chen, W. H., *et al*. (2013a). Optimizing virus-induced gene silencing efficiency with Cymbidium mosaic virus in Phalaenopsis flower. *Plant Sci.* 201-202, 25–41.

Hsieh, M. H., Pan, Z. J., Lai, P. H., Lu, H. C., Yeh, H. H., Hsu, C. C., *et al*. (2013b). Virus-induced gene silencing unravels multiple transcription factors involved in floral growth and development in Phalaenopsis orchids. *J. Exp. Bot.* **64**, 3869–3884.

Huang, Y., Mei, M., Mao, Z., Lv, S., Xie, B. (2014). Molecular cloning and virus-induced gene silencing of miasb in the southern root-knot nematode, meloidogyne incognita. *Eur. J. Plant Pathol.* **138**, 181–193.

Jagram N, Dasgupta I. (2023). Principles and practice of virus induced gene silencing for functional genomics in plants. *Virus Genes*. **59**(2):173–87.

Jiang, N., Cui, J., Meng, J., Luan, Y. (2018). A tomato nucleotide binding sites-leucine-rich repeat gene is positively involved in plant resistance to phytophthora infestans. *Phytopathology* **108**, 980–987.

Kang, G., Li, G., Ma, H., Wang, C., Guo, T. (2013). Proteomic analysis on the leaves of TaBTF3 gene virus-induced silenced wheat plants may reveal its regulatory mechanism. *J. Proteomics* **83**, 130–143.

Kumagai, M. H., Donson, J., della-Cioppa, G., Harvey, D., Hanley, K., Grill, L. K. (1995). Cytoplasmic inhibition of carotenoid biosynthesis with virus-derived RNA. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A.* **92**, 1679–1683.

Liao, J. J., Wang, C. H., Xing, Q. J., Li, Y. P., Liu, X. F., Qi, H. Y. (2019). Overexpression and VIGS system for functional gene validation in oriental melon (Cucumis melo var. makuwa Makino). *Plant Cell Tissue Organ Culture (PCTOC)* **137**, 275–284.

Liu, E., Page, J.E. (2008). Optimized cDNA libraries for virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS) using tobacco rattle virus. Plant Methods. 2008; **4**:1–13.

Liu, H., Fu, D., Zhu, B., Yan, H., Shen, X., Zuo, J., *et al*. (2012). Virus-induced gene silencing in eggplant (Solanum melongena). *J. Integr. Plant Biol.* **54**, 422–429.

Liu, Y., Schiff, M., Dinesh-Kumar, S. P. (2002a). irus-induced gene silencing in tomato. *Plant J.* **31**, 777–786.

Liu, Y., Schiff, M., Marathe, R., Dinesh-Kumar, S. P. (2002b). Tobacco Rar1, EDS1 and NPR1/NIM1 like genes are required for N-mediated resistance to tobacco mosaic virus. *Plant J.* **30**, 415–429.

MacFarlane, S. A., Popovich, A. H. (2000). Efficient expression of foreign proteins in roots from tobravirus vectors. *Virology* **267**, 29–35.

Martin, R. C., Glover-Cutter, K., Martin, R. R., Dombrowski, J. E. (2013). Virus induced gene silencing in Lolium temulentum. *Plant Cell.* **113**, 163–171.

Meziadi, C., Blanchet, S., Richard, M. M., Pilet-Nayel, M. L., Geffroy, V., Pflieger, S. (2016). Bean pod mottle virus: a new powerful tool for functional genomics studies in Pisum sativum. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* **14**, 1777–1787.

Nowicki, M., Foolad, M. R., Nowakowska, M., Kozik, E. U. (2012). Potato and tomato late blight caused by phytophthora infestans: an overview of pathology and resistance breeding. *Plant Dis.* **96**, 4–17.

Pang, J., Zhu, Y., Li, Q., Liu, J., Tian, Y., Liu, Y., *et al*. (2013). Development of Agrobacterium-mediated virus-induced gene silencing and performance evaluation of four marker genes in Gossypium barbadense. *PloS One* **8**, e73211.

Qu, J., Ye, J., Geng, Y-F., Sun, Y-W., Gao, S-Q., Zhang, B-P., Chen, W., Chua, N-H. (2012). Dissecting functions of Katanin and Wrinkled1 in cotton fiber development by virus-induced gene silencing. *Plant Physiol*. **160**(2):738–48.

Ratcliff, F., Martin-Hernandez, A. M., Baulcombe, D. C. (2001). Technical Advance. Tobacco rattle virus as a vector for analysis of gene function by silencing. *Plant journal: Cell Mol. Biol.* **25**, 237–245.

Rossner, C., Lotz, D., Becker, A. (2022). VIGS goes viral: how VIGS transforms our understanding of Plant Science. *Annu Rev Plant Biol.*, **73**: 703–728.

Ruiz, M. T., Voinnet, O., Baulcombe, D. C. (1998). Initiation and maintenance of virus-induced gene silencing. *Plant Cell.* **10**, 937–946.

Ryu, C. M., Anand, A., Kang, L., Mysore, K. S. (2004). Agrodrench: a novel and effective agroinoculation method for virus-induced gene silencing in roots and diverse Solanaceous species. *Plant J.* **40**, 322–331.

Senthil-Kumar, M., Anand, A., Uppalapati, S. R., Mysore, K. S. (2008). Virus-induced gene silencing and its applications. *CABI Rev.* 11.

Senthil-Kumar, M., Hema, R., Anand, A., Kang, L., Udayakumar, M., Mysore, K. S. (2007). A systematic study to determine the extent of gene silencing in Nicotiana benthamiana and other Solanaceae species when heterologous gene sequences are used for virus-induced gene silencing. *New Phytol.* **176** (4), 782–791.

Senthil-Kumar, M., Mysore, K. S. (2011a). Virus-induced gene silencing can persist for more than 2 years and also be transmitted to progeny seedlings in Nicotiana benthamiana and tomato. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* **9** (7), 797–806.

Senthil-Kumar, M., Mysore, K. S. (2011b). New dimensions for VIGS in plant functional genomics. *Trends Plant Sci.* **16**, 656–665.

Simmons, C.W., VanderGheynst, J.S., Upadhyaya, S.K. (2009). A model of Agrobacterium tumefaciens vacuum infiltration into harvested leaf tissue and subsequent in planta transgene transient expression. Biotechnol Bioeng. **102**(3): 965–70.

Singh, D. K., Lee, H. K., Dweikat, I., Mysore, K. S. (2018). An efficient and improved method for virus-induced gene silencing in sorghum. *BMC Plant Biol.* **18**, 123.

Spitzer, B., Zvi, M. M., Ovadis, M., Marhevka, E., Barkai, O., Edelbaum, O., *et al*. (2007). Reverse genetics of floral scent: application of tobacco rattle virus-based gene silencing in Petunia. *Plant Physiol.* **145**, 1241–1250.

Szittya, G., Silhavy, D., Molnár, A., Havelda, Z., Lovas, A., Lakatos, L., *et al*. (2003). Low temperature inhibits RNA silencing-mediated defense by the control of siRNA generation. *EMBO J.* **22**, 633–640.

Tian, J., Pei, H., Zhang, S., Chen, J., Chen, W., Yang, R., *et al*. (2014). TRV–GFP: a modified Tobacco rattle virus vector for efficient and visualizable analysis of gene function. *J. Exp. Bot.* **65**, 311–322.

Tuttle, J. R., Idris, A. M., Brown, J. K., Haigler, C. H., Robertson, D. (2008). Geminivirus-mediated gene silencing from Cotton leaf crumple virus is enhanced by low temperature in cotton. *Plant Physiol.* **148**, 41–50.

Valentine, T., Shaw, J., Blok, V. C., Phillips, M. S., Oparka, K. J., Lacomme, C. (2004). Efficient virus-induced gene silencing in roots using a modified tobacco rattle virus vector. *Plant Physiol.* **136**, 3999–4009.

Wang, P., Zhang, J., Su, J., Wang, P., Liu, J., Liu, B., *et al*. (2013). The chloroplast min system functions differentially in two specific nongreen plastids in Arabidopsis thaliana. *PloS One* **8**, e71190.

Wang, T. (2003). Training workshop on tomato late blight research in China.

Yan, H. X., Fu, D. Q., Zhu, B. Z., Liu, H. P., Shen, X. Y., Luo, Y. B. (2012). Sprout vacuum-infiltration: a simple and efficient agroinoculation method for virus-induced gene silencing in diverse solanaceous species. *Plant Cell Rep.* **31**, 1713–1722.

Yang, J., Zhang, T. Y., Liao, Q. S., He, L., Li, J., Zhang, H. M., *et al*. (2018). Chinese wheat mosaic virus-induced gene silencing in monocots and dicots at low temperature. *Front. Plant Sci.* **9**, 1627.

Yu, J., Gao, L., Liu, W., Song, L., Xiao, D., Liu, T., *et al*. (2019). Transcription coactivator ANGUSTIFOLIA3 (AN3) regulates leafy head formation in Chinese cabbage. *Front. Plant Sci.* **10**, 520.

Zhang, J., Yu, D., Zhang, Y., Liu, K., Xu, K., Zhang, F., Wang, J., Tan, G., Nie, X., Ji, Q. (2017). Vacuum and co-cultivation agroinfiltration of (germinated) seeds results in tobacco rattle virus (TRV) mediated whole-plant virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS) in wheat and maize. *Front Plant Sci*., **8**: 393.

Zhang, C., Liu, L., Zheng, Z., Sun, Y., Zhou, L., Yang, Y., *et al*. (2013). Fine mapping of the Ph-3 gene conferring resistance to late blight (*Phytophthora infestans*) in tomato. *TAG. Theor. Appl. Genet.* **126**, 2643–2653.

Zhang, Z., Thomma, B. P. H. J. (2014). Virus-induced gene silencing and agrobacterium tumefaciens-mediated transient expression in nicotiana tabacum. *Methods Mol. Biol.* **1127**, 173–181.

Zhao, K., Shen, X., Yuan, H., Liu, Y., Liao, X., Wang, Q., *et al*. (2013). Isolation and characterization of dehydration-responsive element-binding factor 2C (MsDREB2C) from Malus sieversii Roem. *Plant Cell Physiol.* **54**, 1415–1430.

Zhu, H., Deng, M., Yang, Z., Mao, L., Jiang, S., Yue, Y., *et al*. (2021). Two Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) Thaumatin-Like Protein Genes Confer Enhanced Resistance to Late Blight (*Phytophthora infestans*). *Phytopathology,* **111**, 1790–1799.